



OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN
ATTORNEY GENERAL.

February 19, 1943

Honorable Ned Price, Chairman
Public Lands and Buildings Committee
House of Representatives
Austin, Texas

Dear Sir:

Opinion No. O-5115
Re: Will validating act limited
to a particular sale of school
land be constitutional, if
passed as a general law?

We have your opinion request of February 17, 1943, regarding a proposed amendment to H. B. 11 by Earl Sharp, which would limit its application to a particular patent. As we understand your request, you want to know whether or not a validating act which affects only a particular sale of public school land, either by specifically naming the tract or the patentee or by other singular designation, can be passed as a general law without offending some provision of the Texas Constitution.

We do not have a copy of the proposed amendment to H. B. 11, but for the purpose of answering your question, we will assume that the amendment names a particular person to whom a patent for public school land has been issued and seeks to validate that patent.

An amendment such as you inquire about would, if adopted, make H. B. 11 a special law. *Miller v. El Paso County*, 136 Tex. 370, 150 S. W. (2d) 1000. *Ex parte Heiling*, 128 Tex. Cr. R. 399, 82 S. W. (2d) 644. Section 56 of Article III of the Texas Constitution forbids, unless otherwise provided in the Constitution, the passage of a special law in certain instances (validating titles not named) and then provides "and in all other cases where a general law can be made applicable, no local or special law shall be enacted."

Hon. Ned Price - Page 2.

Section 57 of Article III, Texas Constitution, and Articles 2 to 9, R.S. 1925 require thirty days notice to be published in the locality to be affected before a special law is introduced into the Legislature. We assume that no notice of intention to introduce H. B. 11 was published as is required for a special or local law.

We find no constitutional provision which would authorize the validation of a particular sale of school land by a special law passed without the requisite thirty days notice, and we are, therefore, of the opinion that the proposed amendment to H. B. 11 would be invalid as a violation of Section 57 of Article III of the Texas Constitution.

In view of this conclusion it is unnecessary to pass on whether the proposed amendment to H. B. 11 would violate Section 56 of Article III, or other provisions of the Texas Constitution and we do not do so. See, State Highway Dept. et al vs. Gorham Sup. Ct. 162 S.W. (2d) 934.

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By *Fagan Dickson*
Fagan Dickson
Assistant

FD:BT

RECORDED AT, 1943

General C. Mann

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

